This article was downloaded by: [Institute Of Atmospheric Physics] On: 09 December 2014, At: 15:17 Publisher: Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



[Click for updates](http://crossmark.crossref.org/dialog/?doi=10.1080/00958972.2014.944175&domain=pdf&date_stamp=2014-07-15)



**Journal of Coordination Chemistry**

<http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/gcoo20>

# **Two 3-D metal organic frameworks containing 2,2**′**-bipyridine-5,5**′ **dicarboxylic acid: synthesis, structure, and magnetic properties**

Ming Fang<sup>a</sup>, Tao Wang<sup>a</sup>, Xulin Lu<sup>a</sup>, Aijun Song<sup>a</sup>, Li Shen<sup>a</sup> & Hongyan Tian<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Chemistry, Hebei Normal University of Science & Technology, Qinhuangdao, PR China

Accepted author version posted online: 15 Jul 2014.Published online: 08 Aug 2014.

**To cite this article:** Ming Fang, Tao Wang, Xulin Lu, Aijun Song, Li Shen & Hongyan Tian (2014) Two 3-D metal organic frameworks containing 2,2′-bipyridine-5,5′-dicarboxylic acid: synthesis, structure, and magnetic properties, Journal of Coordination Chemistry, 67:13, 2280-2286, DOI: [10.1080/00958972.2014.944175](http://www.tandfonline.com/action/showCitFormats?doi=10.1080/00958972.2014.944175)

**To link to this article:** <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00958972.2014.944175>

### PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Any opinions and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at [http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms](http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions)[and-conditions](http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions)

## Two 3-D metal organic frameworks containing 2,2′-bipyridine-5,5′-dicarboxylic acid: synthesis, structure, and magnetic properties

Taylor & Francis Taylor & Francis Group

MING FANG\*, TAO WANG, XULIN LU, AIJUN SONG, LI SHEN and HONGYAN TIAN

Department of Chemistry, Hebei Normal University of Science & Technology, Qinhuangdao, PR China

(Received 18 February 2014; accepted 16 June 2014)



Two metal organic frameworks,  $\{[M(H_2BPDC)(SO_4)]\}_n$  (M = Mn (1), Zn (2)); BPDC = 2,2'-bipyridine-5,5′-dicarboxylic anion), have been synthesized under hydrothermal conditions. The structure analyses of 1 and 2 reveal that the two compounds have similar 3-D structures. Compound 1 crystallizes in the orthorhombic system with space group Pnma, while 2 displays a monoclinic system with space group  $P21/n$ . Magnetic investigation suggests that weak antiferromagnetic coupling exists between adjacent  $Mn^{2+}$  ions in 1.

Keywords: 2,2′-Bipyridine-5,5′-dicarboxylic anion; Manganese; Zinc; MOFs; Antiferromagnetic coupling

#### Introduction

Crystal engineering plays an important role in the field of materials science and the determination of biological protein structures. The purpose of crystal engineering is to controllably

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Email: [fangmingchem@163.com](mailto:fangmingchem@163.com)

<sup>© 2014</sup> Taylor & Francis

synthesize metal organic frameworks (MOFs) based on structure–activity relationships. In the past decades, the design and synthesis of MOFs have attracted interest owing to their versatile applications including luminescence [[1\]](#page-7-0), molecular adsorption [[2\]](#page-7-0), ion exchange [\[3](#page-8-0)], drug delivery [[4\]](#page-8-0), catalysis [\[5](#page-8-0)], and magnetism [\[6](#page-8-0)]. Additionally, MOFs have high crystallinity which can guarantee the homogeneity of the material properties. Many spectacular MOFs have been obtained  $[1-10]$  $[1-10]$  $[1-10]$ . Ligands play a key role in the design and synthesis of MOFs. Especially, organic multi-carboxylate ligands, such as phthalic acid [[7\]](#page-8-0), terephthalic acid [\[8](#page-8-0)], trimesic acid [\[9](#page-8-0)], and pyridine dicarboxylic acid [\[10](#page-8-0)], have been widely used to construct MOFs.

In this contribution, 2,2′-bipyridine-5,5′-dicarboxylic acid  $(H_2BPDC)$  [[11](#page-8-0)] was selected as the ligand to fabricate transition metal-based MOFs based on the following considerations: (i) it is a polydentate ligand of up to six donors  $N_2O_4$ , displaying various coordination modes; (ii) the higher symmetry of the ligand may cause generation of ordered structures; (iii) the rigidity of the ligand may reduce the possibility of lattice interpenetration in products. Subsequently, two MOFs  $\{[M(H_2BPDC)(SO_4)]\}_n$  (M = Mn (1), Zn  $(2)$ ); BPDC = 2,2'-bipyridine-5,5'-dicarboxylic anion) were obtained and structurally characterized. The magnetic analyses for 1 indicate the presence of antiferromagnetic exchange between  $Mn^{2+}$  ions.

#### Experimental

#### Materials and physical measurements

All the chemicals purchased were of reagent grade and used without purification. Water used in the reactions was distilled. The elemental analyses (C, H, and N) were carried out on a Perkin-Elmer elemental analyzer. Magnetic susceptibilities were performed on a Quantum Design PPMS-9 ACMS magnetometer. Diamagnetic corrections were made with Pascal's constants for all the constituent atoms.

#### The syntheses of 1 and 2 (3-D)

A mixture of  $MnSO_4$ <sup>·H<sub>2</sub>O (0.3 mM, 51 mg) for 1 and  $ZnSO_4$ ·7H<sub>2</sub>O (0.3 mM, 86 mg) for 2,</sup>  $H_2BPDC$  (0.1 mM, 24 mg),  $H_2O$  (4 mL), and  $C_2H_3OH$  (6 mL) was added in a 25 mL Teflon-lined stainless steel reactor at  $140\text{ °C}$  for 2 days, and then slowly cooled to room temperature. Colorless block-like crystals of 1 and 2, suitable for X-ray data collection, were obtained by filtration, washed by distilled water, and air-dried. Yield: 48 and 28% based on BPDC for 1 and 2, respectively. Elemental analysis Calcd  $(\%)$  for  $C_{12}H_8MnN_2O_8S$  (1): C, 36.47; H, 2.04; N, 7.09. Found: C, 36.39; H, 2.07; N, 7.13. For  $C_{12}H_8ZnN_2O_8S$  (2): C, 35.53; H, 1.99; N, 6.91. Found: C, 35.46; H, 2.04; N, 6.95.

#### Crystallographic studies

Single-crystal X-ray diffraction measurements of 1 and 2 were collected at 173 K on a SuperNova Single Crystal Diffractometer equipped with graphite monochromated MoKα radiation ( $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å). Lorentz polarization and absorption corrections were applied. The structures were solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares techniques

Complex	1	$\mathbf{2}$
Formula	$C_{12}H_8MnN_2O_8S$	$C_{12}H_8ZnN_2O_8S$
Fw	395.21	405.63
Temperature (K)	173(2)	173(2)
Crystal system	Orthorhombic	Monoclinic
Space group	Pnma	P21/n
a(A)	10.949(2)	6.4251(5)
b(A)	6.5995(13)	10.8881(8)
c(A)	18.318(4)	18.3449(17)
$\alpha$ (°)	90	90
$\beta$ (°)	90	97.213(8)
$\gamma$ (°)	90	90
$V(A^3)$	1323.6(5)	1273.20(18)
Z	4	4
$D_{\text{Calcd}} (g/cm^3)$	1.983	2.116
$\mu$ (mm <sup>-1</sup> )	1.207	2.147
Total refins.	10,413	5737
Unique refins.	1275	5737
Parameters	142	223
$\theta$ Range (°)	3.28/25.01	2.90/28.96
F(000)	796	816
GOF on $F^2$	1.170	1.042
$R_{\text{int}}$	0.0815	
$R_1/wR_2$ [ $I > 2\sigma(I)$ ]	$R_1 = 0.0664$	$R_1 = 0.0553$
	$wR_2 = 0.1126$	$wR_2 = 0.1489$
$R_1/wR_2$ (all data)	$R_1 = 0.0828$	$R_1 = 0.0652$
	$wR_2 = 0.1186$	$wR_2 = 0.1587$

Table 1. Crystal data and structure refinement details for 1 and 2.

using SHELXS-97 and SHELXL-97 [[12\]](#page-8-0). Anisotropic thermal parameters were assigned to all non-hydrogen atoms. The hydrogens were placed in idealized positions. Compound 2 is a twin crystal structure, which is split by the software. Crystallographic data for 1 and 2 are summarized in table 1. Selected bond lengths and angles are summarized in table (S1, see online supplementary material at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00958972.2014.944175>) in Supplemental data.

#### Results and discussion

#### Crystal structure of  $\{[M(H_2BPDC)(SO_4)]\}_n$  (M = Mn(1), Zn(2))

X-ray diffraction analysis reveals that 1 crystallizes in the orthorhombic system, space group *Pnma*. Compound 2 is isostructural to 1; however, different space group  $P21/n$  (figures S1–S3), and so the structure of 2, is not commented. The asymmetric unit of 1 consists of one crystallographically independent  $Mn^{2+}$ , one BPDC ligand, and one sulfate, as shown in figure [1.](#page-5-0) The local coordination geometry for the six-coordinate Mn1 is distorted octahedral, which consists of one carboxylic oxygen, two nitrogens, and three oxygens from three sulfate anions. The Mn–O (carboxylic) distance is  $2.231(5)$  Å, Mn–O (sulfate), bond lengths are 2.094(5) and 2.158(4) Å, and the Mn–N bond distances are  $2.247(6)$  and  $2.280(6)$  Å, respectively. The BPDC anion is a bidentate metal linker (scheme [1\)](#page-7-0) with the two nitrogens chelated with one  $Mn^{2+}$  and one carboxylic oxygen coordinating with another  $Mn^{2+}$ . The sulfate, which acts as a tridentate ligand, plays a rather important role in the construction of

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

Figure 1. The molecular structure of 1.



Figure 2. The 1-D chain structure of 1 along the b direction.

the structure. The  $Mn^{2+}$  is connected to a 1-D ladder-like chain, along the b direction by bridging sulfates (figure 2), then the 1-D chain is further connected to a 3-D framework by the ligands (figure [3\)](#page-6-0).

#### Magnetic properties

The magnetic susceptibilities of 1 were measured on the microcrystalline sample from 2 to 300 K under 1000 Oe field, as shown in figure [4](#page-6-0). At room temperature, the  $\chi_M T$  value for 1 is 4.15 cm<sup>3</sup> K M<sup>-1</sup>, close to the expected value of 4.38 cm<sup>3</sup> K M<sup>-1</sup> for one Mn<sup>2+</sup> ion (S=5/2). On lowering the temperature, the  $\chi_M T$  value decreases gradually from 4.15 cm<sup>3</sup> K M<sup>-1</sup> at 300 K to 3.68 cm<sup>3</sup> K M<sup>-1</sup> at 50 K, then slumps to a value of 0.4 cm<sup>3</sup> K M<sup>-1</sup> at 2 K. This behavior may indicate the presence of weak antiferromagnetic coupling between adjacent  $Mn^{2+}$  ions. The temperature dependence of the reciprocal susceptibilities ( $1/\chi_M$ ) above 10 K obeyed the Curie–Weiss law  $1/\chi_M = (T - \theta)/C$  with  $C = 4.32$  and Weiss constant  $\theta = -9.02$ . The negative value of  $\theta$  further confirms the existence of antiferromagnetic coupling between  $Mn^{2+}$  ions [\[13](#page-8-0)]. For 1, the main magnetic interactions may occur between adjacent  $Mn^{2+}$  ions bridged by sulfate, whereas the exchange interactions between  $Mn^{2+}$  ion bridged through BPDC may be ignored because of the long  $Mn \cdots Mn$  distance; the structure is taken as an infinite-chain model. To estimate the strength of the antiferromagnetic coupling, the equation

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

Figure 3. The 3-D framework of 1 along the c direction. Color codes: red, O; green, Mn; yellow, S; blue, N (see <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00958972.2014.944175> for color version).



Figure 4. The plots of  $\chi_M T$  vs. T,  $1/\chi_M$  vs. T and the linear fit of Curie–Weiss law for 1 under 1000 Oe field.

derived by Fisher with  $H = -2 J \Sigma S_i S_{i+1}$  as following is applied to fit the magnetic data above 10 K:

$$
\chi = \frac{N\beta^2 g^2}{kT} \cdot \frac{A + Bx^2}{1 + Cx + Dx^3} \tag{1}
$$



<span id="page-7-0"></span>Scheme 1. Coordination modes of BPDC.

$$
x = |J|/kT \tag{2}
$$

In this equation [[14\]](#page-8-0),  $A = 2.9167$ ,  $B = 208.04$ ,  $C = 15.543$ ,  $D = 2707.2$ ; N, g, B, k have their usual meanings; and  $J$  is the exchange coupling constant between adjacent  $Mn^{2+}$  ions. Based on this equation, the least-squares fitting of magnetic susceptibility data leads to  $J$  $= -0.48$  cm<sup>-1</sup>,  $g = 2.00$ , and the agreement factor R, defined as  $R = \sum (\chi_{obsd} - \chi_{Calcd})^2$  $\sum (\chi_{\text{obsd}})^2$ , is 1.54 × 10<sup>-3</sup>. The result indicates very weak antiferromagnetic interaction between  $Mn^{2+}$ . Such a weak coupling interaction often occurs through carboxylate bridges in manganese(II) compounds. Zuo et al. reported a 3-D manganese-based MOF with a  $J$ value of  $-0.27 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , and the metal centers bridged in a Mn–O-C-O–Mn model [[15\]](#page-8-0). Hachuła et al. reported that a compound with Mn–O-C-O–Mn bridge has a  $J$  value of  $-0.74$  cm<sup>-1</sup> [\[16](#page-8-0)].

#### Conclusion

Two 3-D MOFs have been synthesized under hydrothermal conditions and structurally characterized. The magnetic properties reveal that weak antiferromagnetic interaction exists between the adjacent  $Mn^{2+}$  ions in 1.

#### Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the support of the Foundation for Selected Overseas Scholar, Hebei Province (C2013001051); the Science and Technology Research and Development Program of Qinhuangdao (201302A039); and the research startup foundation of Hebei Normal University of Science & Technology.

#### References

- [1] (a) Y. Cui, Y. Yue, G. Qian, B. Chen. Chem. Rev., 112, 1126 (2012); (b) H. Xu, F. Liu, Y. Cui, B. Chen, G. Qian. Chem. Commun., 47, 3153 (2011); (c) B. Chen, L. Wang, Y. Xiao, F.R. Fronczek, M. Xue, Y. Cui, G. Qian. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 48, 500 (2009); (d) S.K. Sahoo, D. Sharma, R.K. Bera, G. Crisponic, J.F. Callan. Chem. Soc. Rev., 41, 7195 (2012); (e) J. Feng, H.J. Zhang. Chem. Soc. Rev., 42, 387 (2013).
- [2] (a) M.P. Suh, H.J. Park, T.K. Prasad, D.-W. Lim. Chem. Rev., 112, 782 (2012); (b) K. Sumida, D.L. Rogow, J.A. Mason, T.M. McDonald, E.D. Bloch, Z.R. Herm, T.-H. Bae, J.R. Long. Chem. Rev., 112, 724 (2012); (c) R.B. Getman, Y.-S. Bae, C.E. Wilmer, R.Q. Snurr. Chem. Rev., 112, 703 (2012); (d) J.R. Li, J. Sculley, H.-C. Zhou. Chem. Rev., 112, 869 (2012).

#### <span id="page-8-0"></span>2286 M. Fang et al.

- [3] (a) K.S. Min, M.P. Suh. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 122, 6834 (2000); (b) X.M. Guo, L.S. Fu, H.J. Zhang, L.D. Carlos, C.Y. Peng, J.F. Guo, J.B. Yu, R.P. Deng, L.N. Sun. New J. Chem., 29, 1351 (2005); (c) G.K. Kole, J.J. Vittal. Chem. Soc. Rev., 42, 1755 (2013); (d) J.B. Rivesta, P.K. Jain. Chem. Soc. Rev., 42, 89 (2013).
- [4] (a) X.Y. Wang, Z.J. Guo. Chem. Soc. Rev., 42, 202 (2013); (b) D. Cunha, M.B. Yahia, S. Hall, S.R. Miller, H. Chevreau, E. Elkaïm, G. Maurin, P. Horcajada, C. Serre. Chem. Mater., 25, 2767 (2013).
- [5] (a) L. Ma, J.M. Falkowski, C. Abney, W. Lin. Nat. Chem., 2, 838 (2010); (b) D. Dang, P. Wu, C. He, Z. Xie, C. Duan. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 132, 14321 (2010); (c) D.J. Lun, G.I.N. Waterhouse, S.G. Telfer. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 133, 5806 (2011); (d) V.S. Thoi, Y. Sun, J.R. Long, C.J. Chang. Chem. Soc. Rev., 42, 2388 (2013); (e) A. Sartorel, M. Bonchio, S. Campagna, F. Scandola. Chem. Soc. Rev., 42, 2262 (2013); (f) M. Yoon, R. Srirambalaji, K. Kim. Chem. Rev., 112, 1196 (2012).
- [6] (a) D.N. Woodruff, R.E.P. Winpenny, R.A. Layfield. Chem. Rev., 113, 5110 (2013); (b) P. Zhang, Y.N. Guo, J. Tang. Coord. Chem. Rev., 257, 1728 (2013); (c) Y. Wang, X.L. Li, T.W. Wang, Y. Song, X.Z. You. Inorg. Chem., 49, 969 (2010); (d) E. Burzurí, J. Campo, L.R. Falvello, E. Forcén-Vázquez, F. Luis, I. Mayoral, F. Palacio, C. Sáenz de Pipaón, M. Tomás. Chem. Eur. J., 17, 2818 (2011); (e) M. Fang, H. Zhao, A.V. Prosvirin, D. Pinkowicz, B. Zhao, P. Cheng, W. Wernsdorfer, E.K. Brechin, K.R. Dunbar. Dalton Trans., 14693 (2013).
- [7] (a) S.Y. Zhang, W. Shi, Y.H. Lan, N. Xu, X.Q. Zhao, A.K. Powell, B. Zhao, P. Cheng, D.Z. Liao, S.P. Yan. Chem. Commun., 47, 2859 (2011); (b) Y. Wang, X.L. Li, T.W. Wang, Y. Song, X.Z. You. Inorg. Chem., 49, 1689 (2010); (c) Y.C. Qu, W.T. Liu, J.Y. Li, G.G. Zhang, J. Wang, M.L. Tong. Chem. Commun., 47, 9384 (2011).
- [8] (a) S.T. Zheng, T. Wu, C. Chou, A. Fuhr, P.Y. Feng, X. Bu. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 134, 4517 (2012); (b) B.L. Chen, C.D. Liang, J. Yang, D.S. Contreras, Y.L. Clancy, E.B. Lobkovsky, O.M. Yaghi, S. Dai. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 45, 1390 (2006); (c) G.H. Xu, X.G. Zhang, P. Guo, C.L. Pan, H.J. Zhang, C. Wang. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 132, 3656 (2010); (d) C. Serre, C. Mellot-Draznieks, S. Surble, N. Audebrand, Y. Filinchuk, G. Ferey. Science, 315, 1828 (2007); (e) J. Seo, C. Bonneau, R. Matsuda, M. Takata, S. Kitagawa. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 133, 9005 (2011).
- [9] (a) S.T. Zheng, T. Wu, F. Zuo, C. Chou, P.Y. Feng, X.H. Bu. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 134, 1934 (2012); (b) O. Kozachuk, K. Yusenko, H. Noei, Y. Wang, S. Walleck, T. Glaser, R.A. Fischer. Chem. Commun., 47, 8509 (2011); (c) S.C. Xiang, W. Zhou, J.M. Gallegos, Y. Liu, B.L. Chen. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 131, 12415 (2009); (d) R.Q. Zou, R.Q. Zhong, S.B. Han, H.W. Xu, A.K. Burrell, N. Henson, J.L. Cape, D.D. Hickmott, T.V. Timofeeva. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 132, 17996 (2010).
- [10] (a) B. Zhao, P. Cheng, X.Y. Chen, C. Cheng, W. Shi, D.Z. Liao, S.P. Yan, Z.H. Jiang. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 126, 3012 (2004); (b) S.K. Hanson, R.T. Baker, J.C. Gordon, B.L. Scott, L.A. Silks, D.L. Thorn. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 132, 17804 (2010); (c) B.K. Tripuramallu, P. Manna, S.N. Reddy, S.K. Das. Cryst. Growth Des., 12, 777 (2012); (d) B.L. Chen, L.B. Wang, Y.Q. Xiao, F.R. Fronczek, M. Xue, Y. Cui, G.D. Qian. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 48, 500 (2009); (e) D. Banerjee, J. Finkelstein, A. Smirnov, P.M. Forster, L.A. Borkowski, S.J. Teat, J.B. Parise. Cryst. Growth Des., 11, 2572 (2011).
- [11] (a) S. Huh, S. Jung, Y. Kim, S.J. Kim, S. Park. Dalton Trans., 1261 (2010); (b) S. Gago, M. Pillinger, A.A. Valente, T.M. Santos, J. Rocha, I.S. Goncüalves. Inorg. Chem., 43, 5422 (2004); (c) K.C. Szeto, K.P. Lillerud, M. Tilset, M. Bjørgen, C. Prestipino, A. Zecchina, C. Lamberti, S. Bordiga. J. Phys. Chem. B, 110, 21509 (2006); (d) J.W. Zhao, D.Y. Shi, H.L. Cheng, L.J. Chen, P.T. Ma, J.Y. Niu. Inorg. Chem. Commun., 13, 822 (2010); (e) D.M. Liu, R.C. Huxford, W.B. Lin. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 50, 3696 (2011); (f) C. Wang, W.B. Lin. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 133, 4232 (2011); (g) M. Fang, P.F. Shi, B. Zhao, D.X. Jiang, P. Cheng, W. Shi. Dalton Trans., 6820 (2012).
- [12] (a) G.M. Sheldrick. SHELXS-97, Program for the Solution of Crystal Structures, University of Göttingen, Germany (1997); (b) G.M. Sheldrick. SHELXL-97, Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures, University of Göttingen, Germany (1997).
- [13] (a) N.E. Chakov, W. Wernsdorfer, K.A. Abboud, G. Christou. Inorg. Chem., 43, 5919 (2004); (b) L. Cañadillas-Delgado, O. Fabelo, J. Pasán, F.S. Delgado, F. Lloret, M. Julve, C. Ruiz-Pérez. Inorg. Chem., 46, 7458 (2007).
- [14] W. Hiller, J. Strähle, A. Datz, M. Hanack, W.E. Hatfield, L.W. Ter Haar, P. Gütlich. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 106, 329 (1984).
- [15] Y. Zuo, M. Fang, G. Xiong, P.F. Shi, B. Zhao, J.Z. Cui, P. Cheng. Cryst. Growth Des., 12, 3917 (2012).
- [16] B. Hachuła, M. Pędras, M. Nowak, J. Kusz, D. Skrzypek, J. Borek, D. Pentak. J. Coord. Chem., 63, 67 (2010).